

Polymer 41 (2000) 7311–7320

polymer

# Polymers of carbonic acid 29. Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>—initiated polymerizations of trimethylene carbonate (TMC, 1,3-dioxanone-2)

H.R. Kricheldorf\*, A. Stricker

*Institut fu¨r Technische und Makromolekulare Chemie, Universitat Hamburg, Bundesstr. 45, D-20146 Hamburg, Germany*

Received 16 November 1999; received in revised form 24 January 2000; accepted 30 January 2000

#### **Abstract**

Model reactions between neat Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> and benzylalcohol suggest that no exchange occurs at temperatures  $\leq 60^{\circ}$ C. However, at 100<sup>o</sup>C a slow esterification process yielding benzyl octanoate and Sn–OH groups takes place. Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> is a little less reactive than SnOct<sub>2</sub>, but polymerizes trimethylene carbonate (TMC) at 80°C. Addition of benzylalcohol accelerates the polymerization process and allows controlling the molecular weight via the TMC/alcohol ratio. The resulting poly TMC contains the stoichiometric quantity of benzyl carbonate endgroups. Neat Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> reacts above 120°C with TMC by transesterification and formation of octanoate endgroups. However, a satisfactory control of  $M_n$  via the  $M/I$  ratio is not possible, and the "backbiting" degradation competes with the polymerization process at high conversions.  $© 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.$ 

*Keywords*: Ring-opening polymerization; Trimethylene carbonate; Dibutyltin octanoate

# **1. Introduction**

 $Sn(II)2-ethylhexanoate, SnOct<sub>2</sub>, is the most widely used$ initiator for the technical production of polylactides and copolyesters of lactic acid. Therefore, an increasing number of research groups has recently contributed numerous preparative and mechanistic studies to the application of SnOct<sub>2</sub> as initiator for lactides and lactones. Further, we have previously shown  $[1-7]$  that  $SnOct<sub>2</sub>$  is also a good and useful initiator for ring-opening polymerizations of aliphatic cyclocarbonates. In the preceding part of this series a preparative and mechanistic study dealing with  $SnOct<sub>2</sub>$ and trimethylene carbonate (TMC) was presented in Ref. [7]. SnOct<sub>2</sub>-initiated copolymerizations of TMC and e-caprolactone, glycolide and lactide were described by several research groups [8–15]. Further, it is known that  $Sn(IV)$  compounds such as  $SnCl<sub>4</sub>$ , Bu $SnCl<sub>3</sub>$ , Bu<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub> or  $Bu<sub>3</sub>SnCl$  are good or even better initiators for aliphatic cyclocarbonates than  $SnOct_2$  [16,17]. The missing link between these  $Sn(IV)$  halides and  $SnOct_2$  is  $Bu_2SnOct_2$ . To the best of our knowledge nothing has been reported on the  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  initiated polymerization of cyclocarbonates and only little is known [18] about the  $Bu_2SnOct_2$ initiated polymerizations of lactones. Therefore, the present work was designed as a first approach to understand the  $Bu_2SnOct_2$ -initiated polymerizations of TMC better.

# **2. Experimental**

#### *2.1. Materials*

TMC, 1,3-dioxanone-2 was a gift of Boehringer KG (Ingelheim/Rhein, FRG). It was recrystallized from ethyl acetate and stored in a exsiccator prior to use.  $Bu_2SnOct_2$ was purchased from Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI, USA). It was used as received because recrystallization from non-polar solvents was not successful due to the good solubility in ligroin. Distillation in a vacuum of  $10^{-3}$  mbar over a short-path apparatus also failed. Benzylalcohol (from Aldrich) was fractionated in vacuum of  $10^{-1}$  mbar and the high boiling fraction was used. Chlorobenzene was distilled over  $P_4O_{10}$ . Bu<sub>2</sub>SnO and adipic acid were purchased from Aldrich and used as-received.

#### *2.2. Time/conversion curves*

1. With neat  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  (Figs. 2 and 3) or neat  $SnOct_2$ (Fig. 3). The reaction mixtures were prepared from recrystallized TMC (30 mmol) and a 1 M solution of  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  in dry chlorobenzene (or a 1 M solution of  $SnOct<sub>2</sub>$  in dry chlorobenzene). Dry chlorobenzene was

Corresponding author.

<sup>0032-3861/00/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0032-3861(00)00096-3

Exp. no.	Mon./init.	Time (h)	Yield $(\%)$	$\eta_{\text{inh}}$ (dl/g) <sup>a</sup>	DP $(-CH_2-OH)^b$	DP $(-CH_2-Ph)^b$
	40	48	60	0.11	60	54
2	60	48	70	0.13	80	67
	100	48	74	0.18	95	110
4	150	48	74	0.21	110	145
5	200	48	87	0.26	130	180
6	300	48	91	0.29	155	240
	500	48	94	0.37	240	370

Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>-initiated and Bzl–OH-coinitiated (Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>/benzylalcohol ratio 1:2) polymerizations of TMC in chlorobenzene at 80°C

<sup>a</sup> Measured at 20°C with c = 2 g/l in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. b <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic endgroup analyses.

added, so that the total volume of all experiments was  $8 \text{ ml } (= 3.75 \text{ mol}/\text{l } \text{TMC})$  and the reaction mixture was thermostated at  $80^{\circ}$ C. From time to time (see Figs. 2 and 3) a sample was taken from the reaction mixture by means of a syringe (via a septum) diluted with  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>$ and a <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was recorded immediately afterwards.

2. With a  $Bu_2SnOct_2/benzylalcohol$  mixture (Fig. 2). TMC (30 mmol), 2.0 ml chlorobenzene and 3 ml of a chlorobenzene solution 1 M in Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> and 2 M in benzylalcohol were mixed and thermostated at  $80^{\circ}$ C. From time to time a sample was removed by means of a syringe, diluted with CDCl<sub>3</sub> (approx. 1:4 by volume) and a  ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum was recorded immediately afterwards.

All reaction mixtures were prepared under dry nitrogen and reaction vessels with silanized glass walls (pretreated with  $Me<sub>2</sub>SiCl<sub>2</sub>$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) were used.

## *2.3. Polymerizations of Tables 1 and 2*

TMC (30 mmol), a 1 M solution of  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  in chlorobenzene, a 2 M solution of benzylalcohol in chlorobenzene and additional chlorobenzene were mixed so that the final volume of the reaction mixture reached 8 ml. The reaction vessel was closed with a glass stopper and steel spring and thermostated at  $80^{\circ}$ C. After cooling the reaction mixture was diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 ml) and precipitated into

cold  $(+5^{\circ}C)$  methanol. The polycarbonates were isolated by filtration and dried at  $40^{\circ}$ C in vacuo.

## *2.4. Polymerizations of Fig. 4*

TMC (30 mmol) and neat  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  were mixed and the closed reaction vessel was thermostated at  $120^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. After rapid cooling with ice a  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum was recorded in CDCl3. Analogous experiments were conducted at 140, 160 and 180 $^{\circ}$ C. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded directly from the virgin reaction mixtures.

## *2.5. Measurements*

The inherent viscosities were measured with an Ubbelohde viscometer thermostated at  $25^{\circ}$ C in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The 100 MHz  $^{1}$ H NMR and the 25.2 MHz  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker AC-100 FT NMR spectrometer in 5 or 10 mm o.d. sample tubes using  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS$  as solvent and shift reference. The 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker AM-400 FT NMR spectrometer in 5 mm o.d. sample tubes using  $CDCl<sub>3</sub>/TMS$  as solvent and shift reference. The GPC measurements were conducted at  $30^{\circ}$ C with a Kontron HPLC/GPC apparatous equipped with a Waters differential diffractometer Md 410. Four polystyrene-filled columns having pore sizes of  $10^2$ ,  $10^3$ ,  $10^4$  and  $10^5$  Å were used and tetrahydrofuran served as eluent.

Table 2 Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>-initiated and Bzl–OH coinitiated polymerizations of TMC in chlorobenzene (TMC/Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> = 500: 1)



<sup>a</sup> Measured at 20°C with c = 2 g/l in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. b <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic endgroup analyses.



Fig. 1. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (100 MHz) of the reaction mixtures obtained from neat Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> and benzylalcohol after 24 h. Signal "a" represents the O–CH<sub>2</sub> group of benzyl octanoate, signal "b" represents the  $O-CH<sub>2</sub>$  group of free benzyl alcohol.

#### **3. Results and discussion**

## 3.1. Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>/benzylalcohol-initiated polymerizations

The commercial  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  was used as received, because its good solubility in ligroin prevented a recrystallization from nonpolar solvents and because it decomposed upon distillation in a vacuum of  $10^{-3}$  mbar. It also decomposed when its purity was checked by gas chromatography. However, the 400 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum and elemental analyses were in agreement with a pure compound of the expected structure.

In analogy with previous studies of  $SnOct<sub>2</sub>$  the reaction of  $Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> with neat benzylalcohol (a potential conitiator)$ was studied first. Whereas a rapid exchange of octanoic acid and benzylalcohol (Bzl–OH) was found for  $SnOct<sub>2</sub>$  at  $20^{\circ}$ C (Eqs. (1) and (2)) [7,19], such an equilibration was not detectable for  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  in CDCl<sub>3</sub> up to 60 $°C$ . This comparison clearly demonstrates a considerably lower reactivity of the  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  and raises the question if the higher stability of  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  has a kinetic or a thermodynamic origin. In this connection the well-known syntheses of  $Bu<sub>3</sub>Sn$  acetate and higher carboxylates should be mentioned  $[20]$ . The Bu<sub>3</sub>Sn carboxylates are easily prepared from Bu<sub>3</sub>SnCl and sodium salts of carboxylic acids in the presence of water or alcohols. These syntheses clearly demonstrate that the  $Bu_3Sn$  carboxylates are thermodynamically much more stable than the alkoxides or hydroxide. Obviously the same tendency exists

for  $Bu_2SnOct_2$ .

$$
SnOct2 + BzIOH \rightleftharpoons OctSnOBzI + OctOH
$$
 (1)

 $OctSnBz1 + BzIOH \rightleftharpoons Sn(OBz1)_{2} + OctOH$  (2)

$$
Bu2SnOct2 + BzIOH \rightleftharpoons Bu2Sn(Oct)OBzI + OctOH
$$
 (3)

$$
Bu2Sn(Oct)OBz1 + BzIOH \rightleftharpoons Bu2Sn(OBz1)2 + OctOH (4)
$$

When mixtures of neat  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  and Bzl-OH (molar ratio 1:2) were heated to 60, 100, 140 and  $180^{\circ}$ C for 24 h no reaction was detected at  $60^{\circ}$ C. However, at  $100^{\circ}$ C the formation of 1–2% of benzyl-2-ethylhexanoate was found and at 140 or 180°C much higher conversions were observed as demonstrated by the  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectra of Fig. 1. In this connection it should be mentioned that benzyl-2-ethylhexanoate was also synthesized from Bzl–OH and 2-ethylhexanoylchloride (with pyridine) so that both the  ${}^{1}H$ and  $^{13}$ C NMR signals were available for an unambiguous identification.

The esterification of the alcohol with octanoate groups has far reaching consequenses for the reactivity of the tin initiator. The first consequence is a formation of Sn–OH groups (Eqs. (5) and (6)) which are like Sn-alkoxides far more reactive towards lactones or cyclocarbonates than the  $Sn-O_2C-R$  groups. Secondly, the tinhydroxides can undergo a variety of postreactions such as the elimination of octanoic acid (Eq. (7)) or the elimination of water (Eq. (8)). Further, an equilibration with unreacted benzylalcohol



Fig. 2. Time conversion curves of Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>-initated polymerizations of TMC in chlorobenzene at 80°C with and without addition of benzyl alcohol.

may be assumed yielding Sn-alkoxide groups (Eqs. (9) and (10)). Altogether the partial esterification of an alcohol added as coinitiator has the consequence that three kinds of active species may be generated, which can initiate the polymerization of TMC (or lactones) according to the established coordination–insertion mechanism [21,22] outlined for tin alkoxides in Eqs. (11) and (12). These three kinds of active species are: Bu<sub>2</sub>Sn-hydroxides, Bu<sub>2</sub>Sn-alkoxides and the stannoxane bonds in the oligomeric  $Bu_2SnO$ 

$$
Bu2SnOct2 + BzIOH \rightarrow Bu2Sn(Oct)OH + BzIOct
$$
 (5)

$$
Bu2Sn(Oct)OH + BzIOH \rightarrow Bu2Sn(OH)2 + BzIOct
$$
 (6)

 $Bu_2Sn(Oct)OH \rightarrow Bu_2SnO + OctOH$  (7)

$$
Bu2Sn(OH)2 \rightarrow Bu2SnO + H2O
$$
 (8)

$$
Bu2Sn(Oct)OH + BzIOH \rightleftharpoons Bu2Sn(Oct)OBzI + H2O \quad (9)
$$

$$
Bu2Sn(OH)2 + 2BzIOH \rightleftharpoons Bu2Sn(OBzI)2 + 2H2O (10)
$$

$$
Bu3Sn-OR \longrightarrow Bu3Sn-O-CH2CH2CO-CO-OR
$$
  
\n
$$
+ OC \longrightarrow U0
$$
 (11)

$$
Bu3Sn2O-CH2CH2CH2-O-CO2OR (12)
$$

In order to elucidate the role of benzylalcohol as coinitiator three series of polymerizations were conducted. The first series served as basis for time/conversion measurements and the results were summarized in Fig. 2. This figure allows a comparison between polymerizations initiated with neat  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  and polymerizations initiated with a  $Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>/benzylalcohol mixture (molar ratio 1:2). All$ other experimental parameters were kept constant. A significant acceleration by the added benzylalcohol is clearly observable. This acceleration suggests that at  $80^{\circ}$ C at least a slow and partial exchange of octanoate versus benzyloxide groups takes place according to Eqs. (3) and (4). This suggestion is supported by the NMR-spectroscopic detection of benzyl carbonate endgroups in a poly (TMC) isolated after 80 h at  $80^{\circ}$ C. These benzyl carbonate endgroups must have been formed by a tin catalyzed reaction such as the initiation of TMC by  $Bu<sub>2</sub>Sn$  (Oct) OBzl (analogous to Eq. (11)), because neat benzylalcohol does not attack poly TMC at  $80^{\circ}$ C within 48 h as reported in the preceding study dealing with  $SnOct<sub>2</sub> [7]$ .

Another typical effect of a coinitiator is its influence on the molecular weight. Two series of polymerizations summarized in Tables 1 and 2 illustrate this influence for benzylalcohol. The polymerizations listed in Table 1 were conducted in such a way that the  $Bu_2SnOct_2/BzI-OH$  ratio was maintained at 1:2, whereas the  $TMC/Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>$  ratio was varied. In the ideal case the resulting degrees of polymerization calculated from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR end-group signals should amount to 50% of the *M*/*I* ratio. The experimental data listed in the last column of Table 1 show higher values, possibly because not all benzylalcohol has reacted. Further, a fractionation upon precipitation must be taken into account for the samples prepared with *M*/*I* ratios  $\leq$ 150. Somewhat surprising is the observation that the DPs calculated from the  ${}^{1}H$  NMR signals of the CH<sub>2</sub>OH endgroups are lower. Since the methanol used for the precipiation of the poly TMC does not cleave the carbonate groups there are two potential explanations. First, a small



Fig. 3. Time conversion curves of SnOct<sub>2</sub> and Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> initiated polymerizations  $(M/I = 10/1)$  in chlorobenzene at 80°C.

amount of humidity was present, despite the drying of all components and reactants (TMC is highly polar and may bind  $H_2O$  on its surface) so that a small percentage of poly TMC chains having the structure **1** were formed. Second, a small part of the TMC was initiated by octanoate groups (see discussion below) so that chains of structure **3** were formed in addition to those of structure **1** and **2**.

The polymerizations compiled in Table 2 are based on a  $TMC/Bu_2SnOct_2$  ratio fixed at 500:1 were as the TMC/ BzlOH ratio was varied. These polymerizations yielded a satisfactory agreement between the DPs calculated from the benzyl carbonate endgroups and the feed ratio of TMC and BzlOH. However, the DPs calculated from the CH<sub>2</sub>OH endgroups were again lower, proving the reproducibility of the trend derived from the data of Table 1. None the less, all these results clearly demonstrate that the alcohol plays the role of a coinitiator allowing for a certain control of the molecular weight.

$$
HO-(CH2)3-O-[-OC-O-(CH2)3-O-]-H
$$

**1**

$$
HO\text{-}(CH_2)_3\text{-}O\text{-}[-CO\text{-}O\text{-}(CH_2)_3\text{-}O\text{-}]-CO\text{-}O\text{-}CH_2C_6H_5
$$

## **2**

$$
HO-(CH_2)_3-O-[-CO-O-(CH_2)_3-O-]-CO-C_7H_{15}
$$

**3**

$$
HO-(CH2)3-O-[-OC-O-(CH2)3-O-]x-CO-C7H15
$$

### *3.2. Initiation by neat Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>*

The time conversion curve presented in Fig. 3 already demonstrates that neat  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  is reactive enough to initiate a polymerization of TMC at  $80^{\circ}$ C in the absence of a purposely added coinitiator. In a previous study dealing with polymerizations of L-lactide in bulk at  $120^{\circ}$ C [18]  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  was found to be less reactive than  $SnOct_2$  and at  $M/I$  ratios  $> 20$  the conversion was far from completion after 24 h. In the present work a more accurate comparison was conducted. A SnOct<sub>2</sub> initiated polymerization of TMC was performed at  $80^{\circ}$ C in chlorobenzene under exactly the same conditions. The resulting time conversion curve is displayed in Fig. 3 together with the time conversion curve of the  $Bu_2SnOct_2$ -initiated polymerization. Both curves show an amazing difference in the kinetic course of these polymerizations. Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> is considerably less reactive in the early stage of the polymerization  $\langle \langle 20 \rangle$ conversion) but a tremendous acceleration has the consequence that above  $50\%$  conversion the Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>-initiated polymerization is more rapid.

The relatively slow initiation combined with a conspicuous acceleration of the  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  polymerization may be explained by the following hypothesis. In the case of  $SnOct<sub>2</sub>$ HO-containing impurities may play the role of coinitiators, so that the initiation and propagation follow the scheme in Eqs. (1), (11) and (12). In the case of  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  which is less reactive towards R–OH compounds another initiation step may play a predominant role namely the insertion of the TMC into the Sn-carboxylate bond (which is covalent, Eq. (13)). The decarboxylation of the resulting mixed anhydride (Eq. (14)) renders this step irreversible. The insertion of TMC into the resulting Sn-alkoxide bond (according to Eq. (11)) has a lower energy of activation. Hence, this

process is slow in the beginning but the increasing number of Sn-alkoxide bonds causes acceleration.

$$
B_{\mu_2}Sn(O_2C-C_7H_{15}) \longrightarrow B_{\mu_2}Sn(Oct)-O-(CH_2)_3-O-CO-O-CO-C_7H_{15}
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow O
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow CO_2
$$
\n(13)

If this hypothesis is correct, the poly TMC resulting from the initiaton process of Eqs. (13) and (14) should contain octanoate ester endgroups (structure **4**). In order to check the formation of those endgroups a series of model reactions was performed. TMC and  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  were mixed in a molar ratio of 10: 1 and heated in bulk either to 80, to 100, 120, 140, 160 or 180°C. The low  $M/I$  ratio was selected to allow for a  $^{13}$ C NMR spectroscopic inspection of the reaction mixture (the <sup>13</sup>C NMR chemical shifts are listed in Table 3). At 80, 100 and  $120^{\circ}$ C no octanoate groups were detected after 24 h and the most of the initiator was unchanged (Fig. 4). However, at  $140^{\circ}$ C a small amount (approx. 10%) of ester groups was detectable and at  $160$  or  $180^{\circ}$ C a complete conversion was obtained. These measurements suggest the existence of an insertion mechanism according to Eqs. (13)

$$
Bu_2Sn(Oct) = O - (CH_2)_3 - O - CO - C_7H_{15}
$$
\n(14)





and (14) at high temperatures, but they do not prove it for low temperatures such as 80°C. However, the sensitivity of the  $^{13}$ C NMR measurements was relatively low and even 4% of ester groups were certainly not detectable. Therefore, the results of Fig. 4 do not disprove a slow initiation according to Eqs.  $(13)$  and  $(14)$  at 80 $^{\circ}$ C.

The quantitative formation of octanoate endgroups at  $160^{\circ}$ C prompted us to study Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>-initiated polymerizations of TMC at 160°C in bulk with variation of the  $M/I$ ratio. The conditions and results of these polymerizations were summarized in Tables 4 and 5. Nearly identical yields corresponding to conversions around 95% were obtained in all experiments. The viscosities (Table 4) and the number average molecular weights  $(M<sub>n</sub>s, Table 5)$  show a continuous increase with the *M*/*I* ratio, but the slope is much more



Fig. 4. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (CO-signals) of the virgin reaction mixtures of TMC and neat Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> (molar ratio 10: 1) recorded after 24 h.

Exp. no.	Mon./init.	Time (h)	Yield $(\%)$	$\eta_{\text{inh}}$ (dl/g) <sup>a</sup>	$DP(-CH2-OH)b$	DP $(-CH_3)^b$
	50	1.5	93	0.44	270	230
2	100	1.5	90	0.48	275	450
3	150	1.5	93	0.52	310	380
$\overline{4}$	200	2.0	88	0.54	270	680
5	300	2.5	88	0.55	350	770
6	500	3.0	90	0.57	360	900
	1000	3.0	90	0.58	350	920

Table 4  $Bu_2SnOct_2$ -initiated polymerizations of TMC in bulk at 160°C

<sup>a</sup> Measured at 20°C with c = 2 g/l in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. b <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic endgroup analyses.

Table 5 GPC measurements of the poly TMC samples listed in Table 4

Exp. no.	Mon./init.	$M_n$ (theor.) <sup>a</sup>	$M_{\rm n}$ (GPC) <sup>b</sup>	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}$ (GPC) <sup>b</sup>	$M_n$ (GPC) <sup>c</sup>	$M_w/M$ <sub>n</sub> (GPC) <sup>c</sup>
	50	2650	19 900	1.68	16 200	1.66
2	100	5200	22 400	1.69	17 700	1.71
3	150	7800	24 800	1.60	19 600	1.63
4	200	10 300	26 700	1.56	21 800	1.54
5.	300	15 400	28 000	1.57	22 000	1.57
6	500	25 600	30 000	1.49	23 900	1.51
⇁	1000	51 000	32 100	1.45	24 600	1.51

<sup>a</sup> Calculated from the *M*/*I* ratio and 100% conversion.

 $\overline{P}$  GPC measurements in THF at 30°C calibrated with Eq. (15) [21].

 $\degree$  GPC measurements in THF at 30 $\degree$ C calibrated with Eq. (16) [22].





<sup>a</sup> Measured at 25°C with c = 2 g/l in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. b <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic endgroup analyses.





<sup>a</sup> Calculated from the *M*/*I* ratio with 100% conversion.

b GPC measurements in THF at  $30^{\circ}$ C calibrated with Eq. (15) [21].

 $\degree$  GPC measurements in THF at 30 $\degree$ C calibrated with Eq. (16) [22].



Fig. 5. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of a Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> initiated poly TMC prepared with  $M/I = 50$  in bulk at 160°C (No. 1, Table 4).

flat. In this connection it should be explained that the GPC measurements were calibrated in two ways, firstly with polystyrene using the K and a values of the Mark Houwink equation (15) [23]; and secondly, with the Mark Houwink equation (16) [24] published for poly TMC. Calibration with polystyrene is the international standard procedure, but in the case of poly TMC (and poly caprolactone) calibration with pertinent Mark Houwink equations seems to give more realistic lower molecular weight data.

$$
[\eta] = 1.25 \times 10^{-4} \times M^{0.717}
$$
 (15)

$$
[\eta] = 2.77 \times 10^{-4} \times M^{0.677}
$$
 (16)

All poly TMC samples listed in Table 4 contained octanoate endgroups as revealed by  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectroscopy and illustrated in Fig. 5. The  ${}^{1}$ H NMR endgroups analyses and the DPs derived from them confirmed an upward trend with incrasing *M*/*I* ratios (Table 4), but it does not parallel the *M*/*I* ratios (Fig. 6). Further, the content of octanaote was considerably lower than expected from a quantitative reaction of both Oct. groups of the initiator. In contrast the DPs and  $M<sub>n</sub>$ s determined from the CH<sub>2</sub>OH endgroups showed an acceptable agreement with the  $M<sub>n</sub>$ s derived from polystyrene calibrated GPC measurements. These endgroup analyses confirmed the relatively flat increase of the  $M<sub>n</sub>$ s and clear deviation from a parallel to the *M*/*I* ratio (see Fig. 6). Obviously, the entire



Fig. 6. Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>-inititated polymerizations of TMC in bulk at 160°C (Table 4). Dependency of  $\overline{DP}$ 's determined from <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic endgroup analyses. A:  $\overline{DP}$  calculated from CH<sub>2</sub>–OH endgroups. B:  $\overline{DP}$  calculated from the *M*/*I* ratio and 100% conversion. C:  $\overline{DP}$  calculated from CH<sub>3</sub> endgroups.





Fig. 7. Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>-inititated polymerizations of TMC in bulk at 160°C. Influence of the reaction time on the viscosity.

polymerization process is relative complex and not in good agreement with the simple scheme in Eqs.  $(11)–(14)$ .

In this connection it should be mentioned that a similar study based on  $SnOct<sub>2</sub>$  as initiator was reported in the proceeding part of this series [7]. In that study the  $M<sub>n</sub>$ s derived from both endgroup analyses and GPC measurements displayed a nearly perfect parallel to the *M*/*I* ratio. Therefore, the results presented here in Table 4 and 5 demonstrate again that the kinetic course of SnOct<sub>2</sub> and Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> initiated polymerizations of TMC are different despite similar reactivities. The high reactivity of  $Bu_2SnOct_2$  towards TMC is underlined by the short reactive times (1.5–2.0 h) needed for almost complete conversions (Table 4).

In order to elucidate to what extent polymerizations of TMC at  $160^{\circ}$ C are affected by backbiting degradation an additional series of polymerization was performed. Again neat Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub> was used in bulk at  $160^{\circ}$ C and the *M*/*I* ratio was fixed at 500:1. Now the reaction time was varied and its influence on the viscosities and molecular weights (from GPC measurements) was determined (Tables 6 and 7). Fig. 7 and the data of Tables 6 and 7 indicate that the molecular weights peak around 2 h followed by a steady decrease with longer times. On the basis of these results the times selected for the polymerizations of Tables 4 and 5 were restricted to a range of 1.5–3.0 h. Similar results were previously found for  $SnOct<sub>2</sub>$  illustrating again similar reactivities of the  $Sn-O-CH<sub>2</sub>$  endgroups in the TMC/poly TMC system. However, the most surprising result of this series of polymerizations is the finding that the number of  $CH<sub>2</sub>$ –OH endgroups seemingly increases. A formal loss of endgroups due to the fractionation upon precipitation of the poly TMC does not explain the steep decrease of the  $\overline{DP}$ determined from  $CH<sub>2</sub>$ –OH endgroups, because the yields only decrease by 15%. In other words a degradation reaction generating  $CH_2-OH$  endgroups seems to exist. The *cis*- $\beta$ elimination outlined in Eq. (17) offers a hypothetical explanation, but the allyl ether endgroup resulting from this elimination was not detected in the  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectra. In summary, this first study of  $Bu_2Sn$  carboxylate-initiated polymerizations of TMC (or other cyclocarbonates) raises more questions about the mechanism than it can answer, but this is typical for a first step into a complex area.



## **4. Conclusions**

From the present work it may be concluded that

 $Bu<sub>2</sub>SnOct<sub>2</sub>$  is a reactive initiator for the ring-opening polymerization of TMC. Its reactivity is in principle comparable with that of  $Sn(II)Oct<sub>2</sub>$ , but details of the initiation process and of the kinetic course of the polymerization are quite different. Polymerizations conducted below  $100^{\circ}$ C are coinitiated by alcohols that accelerate the entire polymerization process and allow for a control of the molecular weights. The resulting polycarbonates contain carbonate endgroups derived from the coinitiator, but no octanoate endgroups. Above  $120^{\circ}$ C the polymerizations take a different course and rapid esterification of octanoate residues yields polycarbonates having nearly stoichiometric amounts of octanoate endgroups. However, the  $M<sub>n</sub>$ s do not parallel the monomer/ initiator ratio indicating a complex polymerization mechanism which certainly deserves further investigations.

# **References**

- [1] Kricheldorf HR, Jenssen J, Kreiser-Saunders I. Makromol Chem 1991;192:2391.
- [2] Kricheldorf HR, Mahler A. JMS Pure Appl Chem A 1996;33:821.
- [3] Kricheldorf HR, Mahler A. J Polym Sci A, Polym Chem 1996;34:2399.
- [4] Kricheldorf HR, Mahler A. Polymer 1996;37:4383.
- [5] Kricheldorf HR, Mahler A, Lee S-R. New Polym Mater 1996;5:25.
- [6] Kricheldorf HR, Mahler A, Lee S-R. JMS Pure Appl Chem A 1997;34:417.
- [7] Kricheldorf HR, Stricker A. Accepted for publication.
- [8] Albertsson A-C, Ecklund M. J Polym Sci A, Polym Chem 1994;32:265.
- [9] Storey RF, Hickey TP. Polymer 1994;35:830.
- [10] Jie C, Zhu KJ, Shilin Y. Polym Int 1997;42:373.
- [11] Storey RF, Warren SC, Allison CJ, Puckett AD. Polymer 1997;38:6295.
- [12] Wang H, Dong JH, Qiu AY. JMS Pure Appl Chem 1998;35:811.
- [13] Wang H, Dong JH, Qui AY. J Polym Sci A, Polym Chem 1998;36:695.
- [14] Wang H, Dong JH, Qiu AY, Gu ZW. J Polym Sci A, Polym Chem 1998;36:1301.
- [15] Liu X-Q, Li F-S, Li F-M. Polym Bull 1999;42:649.
- [16] Kricheldorf HR, Weegen-Schulz B. J Polym Sci A, Polym Chem 1995;33:2193.
- [17] Kricheldorf HR, Weegen-Schulz B. Polymer 1995;26:4997.
- [18] Kricheldorf HR, Kreiser-Saunders I, Boettcher C. Polymer 1995;36:1253.
- [19] Kricheldorf HR, Kreiser-Saunders I, Stricker A. (Polylactones 48). Macromolecules 2000;33:702.
- [20] Davies AG. Organotin chemistry. Weinheim New York: VCH Publishers, 1997 (chap. 11).
- [21] Kricheldorf HR, Berl M, Scharnagel N. Macromolecules 1988;21:286.
- [22] Kricheldorf HR, Sumbél MV, Kreiser-Saunders I. Macromolecules 1991;24:1944.
- [23] van Dijk JAPP, Smit JAM, Kohn FE, Feijen J. J Polym Sci Polym A, Chem Ed 1983;21:197.
- [24] Zhu KJ, Hendren W, Jensen K, Pitt CG. Macromolecules 1991;24:1736.